### Background and explanation of How TLAFs are calculated

RA April 15<sup>th</sup> Mark Needham Version FINAL



### **Background to Review 2009-2011**

Time	Event
2005	SEM High Level Design Decision Paper
2007	Decision paper
2009	January: RAs asks TSOs to review Losses as part of Locational Signals
	March: Workshop takes place with industry
	April: Questionnaire seek views from industry
	May: Options Paper SEM-09-049 published by TSOs which discussed six different approaches
	June: Workshop takes place with industry
	July: Consultation closes on Paper
	November: Preferred Options Paper SEM-09-107 published
	December: Workshop takes place with Industry



### **Background to Design**

Time	Event
2010	January: Consultation closes
2011	April: SEM-11-098 Consultation paper
2012	Decision Paper SEM-12-049



### **TLAF Adjusted Settlement Quantities**





# Methodology

- Dispatch (average) based on the constrained Dispatch Balancing Costs model
- System Model (single all island model)
- Calculating Marginal Loss Factors, **MLFs**
- Convert from MLF to Transmission Loss Adjustment Factor



# Example – Step 1

- Take EWIC as the study bus...but equally applies to Moyle
- Make Node A the system swing/slack bus
- Increase the system demand by 5 MW
   => 4005 MW
- Record the increase at the study node
   => 5.1 MW





# Example – Step 2

- Decrease the system demand by 5 MW
   => 3995 MW
- Record the decrease at the study node
   => -5.2 MW







• The program does this for all the transmission nodes in the system model

Station	Export Generation	+5MW	-5MW	MLF
Node A	0.0	5.1	-5.2	0.971
Node B	90.0	5.2	-5.1	0.979
Node C	40.0	5.2	-5.1	0.971
Node D	470.0	5.1	-5.1	0.972
Node E	10.0	5.1	-5.0	0.991
Node F	0.0	5.2	-5.1	0.970
Node G	5.0	5.2	-5.2	0.968
Node H	0.0	4.8	-4.8	1.047
Node I	25.0	5.1	-5.1	0.985
Node J	0.0	5.1	-5.1	0.986



## Example – Step 4

- Marginal loss methods create an over recovery of losses
  - need to be scaled to reflect the system model (PSSE) losses
- Scaling of the derived marginal loss factors to meet the modelled system losses is performed using the shift method



### **Example – Step 4 contd.**

Station	Export Generation	+5MW	-5MW	MLF	Marginal Losses Allocation	Scaled MLF	Scaled Marginal Loss Allocation
Node A	0.0	5.1	-5.2	0.971	0.000	0.981	0.000
Node B	90.0	5.2	-5.1	0.979	1.884	0.989	0.984
Node C	40.0	5.2	-5.1	0.971	1.167	0.981	0.767
Node D	470.0	5.1	-5.1	0.972	13.150	0.982	8.450
Node E	10.0	5.1	-5.0	0.991	-0.006	1.001	-0.012
Node F	0.0	5.2	-5.1	0.970	0.000	0.980	0.000
Node G	5.0	5.2	-5.2	0.968	0.162	0.978	0.112
Node H	0.0	4.8	-4.8	1.047	0.000	1.057	0.000
Node I	25.0	5.1	-5.1	0.985	0.382	0.995	0.132
Node J	0.0	5.1	-5.1	0.986	0.000	0.996	0.000





## Step 5

- System model losses (from PSSE) ≠ real system losses
  - a final scaling needs to be carried out

#### • K Factor

K = System Model Losses – Target Loss Projection

### TLAF = Scaled MLF - K













## TLAFs – Customer

2012/2013 APPROVED TRANSMIS	SSION LOSS AD	JUSTN	NENT FA	CTORS	• ROLL	MARKE	T PART	ICIPAN	T8		ì	•										·	,	,		
Market Participant -	Transmission		Ōct	Oct	Nov	Nov	Dec	Dec	Jan	Jan	Feb	Feb	Mar	Mar	Apr	Apr	May	May	Jun	Jun	Jul	Jul	Aug	Aug	Sep	Sep
Transmission Connected	Station	١Ŵ	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night
FAST WEST INTERCONNECTOR	Deeside	40	0.09%	0.963	09/1	0.963	0.969	0.961	0.967	094	196	1.096	1096	0.957	0967	09/7	0962	0.9/4	0.990	09/4	1 1 95	1 0 975	0.95	1 0.975	098	§ 09/3

### EWIC TLAFs for 2012/2013 between 0.957 and 0.975

Moyle 2750.9971.0000.9940.9970.9940.9980.9930.9980.9930.9990.9940.9980.9930.9960.9940.9980.994

### MOYLE TLAFs for 2012/2013 between 0.990 and 1.000



### TLAFs – RAs & SEMO

- Prepared in accordance with the statutory and licensing arrangements pertaining in each jurisdiction
- Timeline
  - Draft all island TLAFs to RAs May
  - RAs' Decision, June
- Submitted to the SEMO in accordance with the T&SC



#### Merci Beaucoup/ Tack så mycket

Any questions?



## Background and explanation of How ITC is calculated

April 15<sup>th</sup> Mark Needham Version FINAL



### Background to ITC 2001-2013

Time	Event
2001	ITC starts life as an agreement amongst a small number of TSOs less than 12
2001-7	# Participating TSOs grows
2008/9	Agreements between TSOs (not binding) EirGrid and SONI join as do 40 TSOs
2009	Regulation 2009/714 access to network for cross border exchanges in electricity
2010	Regulation 2010/838 guidelines relating to ITC mechanism
2011	Regulation kicks in
2012	Review of Fund size
2013	ACER recommendation to phase out fund and redevelop



### What is Inter TSO Compensation?

"Transmission system operators should be compensated for energy losses .... and the costs of making infrastructure available."



# **ITC explained**

- 2 elements
  - Infrastructure
  - losses
- 2 mechanisms
  - Cross Border Transits
  - With or without Transits



- But the total comes to €100m
- There are receivers and contributers

Receive	Contribute
Î	$\square$
Certain types of flows	Other flows cause a TSO
cause a TSO to receive	to contribute



### **ITC explained**



	Receive	Contribute
	German TSOs, Swiss Grid, Danish TSO etc	EirGrid, SONI; UKNG, French TSO etc etc
somo ca	€100m	€100m
EIRGRID SCI	**	

### **ITC Infrastructure explained**





### **ITC Infrastructure explained**

Net flow = Import – Export or Export – Import e.g. Import is 100 and export is 50 then Net flow is 50

Transit = Σ min (Import, Export) e.g. if Import is 0 and export is 50 Then transit is 0

Receive	Contribute
Transits	Net flows





# **ITC losses explained**

6 snapshots Per Month:
•02:30; 10:30;18:30
3<sup>rd</sup> Wednesday and Previous Sunday
•Map onto up to 745 hours in a month With or without Transit

Run a load flow with flows on interconnectors and calculate losses on entire system
Run another load flow without flows and calculate losses on entire system

•The difference is due to I/C

	Receive		Contribute
	Transits LOSSES		Net flows
EIR		SON	



### **Overall ITC Calculation**





### **Comparison Between TLAFs and ITC**





TLAF	ITC
Not related to a fund	All adds up to a fixed Fund
Market Based	Not Market Based
SEM	Jurisdiction
2 lines	3 tie-lines
Ex-Ante	Ex-post
Stable	To be redeveloped-no consensus in Europe



## **ACER Recommendation 2013**

A new regulation to be developed before 2015

- More limited infrastructure compensation
- Takes more cognisance of Cross Border Cost Allocation for new investment etc
- Includes measures for loopflows etc



