****

Clarification Note: Mod\_05\_15 Representation of Interconnector User Participants On The Modifications Committee

# MEETING 61 MINUTES EXCERPT

Electroroute delivered a [presentation](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/Meeting%2061%20Electroroute%20slides.pptx) advising that the proposal was raised in order to improve the deliberation of the Modifications Committee, as Interconnector views may not align with those of standard Generator Units, Supplier Units or Demand Side Units that currently account for the participant membership of the committee.

This modification proposal proposes the introduction of one (1) new seat at the SEM Modifications Committee for Interconnector User Participants.

Generator Member asked if this member seat was available to Interconnector Participants only or could Generator Participants with registered Interconnector Units nominate/vote. Electroroute explained that the proposal was not to be exclusively for Interconnector Participants. Electroroute advised that there may be a conflict of interests in asking Generator Members to express the views of Interconnector Participants/Units and this proposal could bring a new voice and dynamic to the committee. Chair asked that it be noted that he felt that the views of Interconnector Users were represented and reflected in the current committee structure.

Observer asked that had the committee not shown to be balanced and provide decisions for proposals as a whole rather than separate commercial entities. DSU Member enquired as to whether the Generator Members were happy to change the current rules of four Generator Members to add an additional Generator Member. DSU Member welcomed the possibility of additional representation.

Generator Member advised that while they were open to new voices and dynamics they were unclear as to how the Elections process would work in practice in the event of an Interconnector Member. MO Member confirmed that votes were dependent on Participant ID level and not Unit ID level therefore in practice there were no major changes to the mechanism. MO Member advised that in the event of a Generator Member with both Generator and Interconnector (IC) Units, it would be necessary for the Participant to choose whether to submit the vote on behalf of the Generator or IC Unit, i.e. to partake in either the Generator or Interconnector election, not both unless the party has separate Generator and Interconnector Participants registered.

Secretariat advised that for this proposed Interconnector Member to be included in the upcoming annual elections the proposal would need to be approved at this meeting, further adding that there is the possibility of running an ad-hoc Interconnector election. The committee were minded to vote to approve this proposal but sought a clarification from the Secretariat as to the election process and voting mechanism in the event of this new member.

***Actions***

* SEMO/Secretariat to issue clarification prior to circulation of the FRR around voting eligibilities for Generator Participants who have ICUs registered, once the mod has been approved and implemented- **Open**

# clarification

1. As currently stands within the T&SC, a Generator Participant with a registered Interconnector Unit is eligible to submit a vote as a Generator Participant on behalf of their Generator Unit OR their Interconnector Unit. Please see below the current definition of Generator Participant, which does not exclude Interconnector Units.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Generation Participant** | **means Participants who have registered Generator Units other than Interconnector Error Units, Interconnector Residual Capacity Units or Demand Side Units.**  |

 Please be reminded that 1 Registered Generator Participant = 1 Generator vote (that is submitted on behalf of either the Generator Unit OR the Interconnector Unit)

1. However, as Mod\_05\_15 is proposing to modify the definition of Generation Participant to remove registered interconnector Units, a Generator Participant would no longer be eligible to submit a vote on behalf of their Interconnector unit, within the Generator Participant elections. The Generation Participant will be eligible to submit a vote on behalf of their Generation unit only.

However there will be no change to the fundamental rule:

1 Registered Generator Participant = 1 Generator vote

1. If Mod\_05\_15 Representation of Interconnector User Participants on the Modification Committee is RA Decision approved and implemented into the Code during the next available Code Release, the impact on Participant voting eligibility would be as follows:

 Excerpt from Meeting 61 minutes:

 “In the event of a Generator Member with both Generator and Interconnector (IC) Units, it would be necessary for the Participant to choose whether to submit the vote on behalf of the Generator or IC Unit, i.e. to partake in either the Generator or Interconnector election, not both, unless the party has separate Generator and Interconnector Participants registered. If separate Generator and Interconnector Participants are registered, a vote can be submitted for both Participants in the generation and IC elections”.

 **This means that Participants with both Generator Units and Interconnector Units under the same ID, will need to choose whether they wish to be defined as Generator Participant or Interconnector Participant for the purpose of the Modification Panel elections and vote accordingly.**

 **Proposed amendment to definition of Generation Particiant in Mod\_05\_15:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  Generation Participant | means Participants who have registered Generator Units other than Interconnector Units, Interconnector Error Units, Interconnector Residual Capacity Units or Demand Side Units. |

 Therefore, the only difference will be that the Generator Participant will not be eligible to submit a vote on behalf of their IC unit, the vote must be submitted on behalf of their Generator Unit, due to the definition of Generation Participant being changed.

 However as it is 1 Registered Generator (Suppy/DSU) Participant = 1 Generator (Supply/DSU) vote, this does not affect Participant voting eligibilities, as Participants will still be entitled to submit 1 vote in the Generation election.

1. In order for a Participant to be eligible to submit votes in both the Generation AND IC elections, the party must have both a Generation AND an Interconnector Participant registered.
* 1 Registered Generator Participant = 1 Generator vote

Similarly,

* 1 Registered Interconnector Participant = 1 Inteconnector vote

**Proposed introduction to definition of Generation Particiant in Mod\_05\_15:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  Interconnector Participant | means Participants who have registered Interconnector Units |

1. SEMO wish to assure Participants that the outcome of implementation of this proposal, will not reduce their eligibility to vote in the Generation elections, the Secretariat however will only accept votes from Generator Participants on behalf of their Generator uits, not their IC Units.

The outcome of implementation of this proposal will be that Interconnector User Participants will now have a seat on the Committee and be eligible to submit votes in the IC election ONLY.

ICUs will not be elegible to submit votes in the Generator election.

Likewise, Generator Participants will not be eligible to submit votes in the IC elections. Unless the Generation Participant has a separate registered IC Participant.

1. The introduction of this change will be in tandem with Mod\_01\_12 Representation of DSUs on the Modifications Committee, which saw an introduction of a DSU Member on the Committee.

Excerpt from Meeting 41 minutes ( where Mod\_01\_12 was voted on):

“Generator Member queried as to whether the voting process during the annual election would be changed if approved? Secretariat advised that the voting process for DSUs would align with the process for Suppliers and Generators”.