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# MODIFICATIONS COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

## Recommended for Approval – unanimous Vote

|  |
| --- |
| **Mod\_14\_12: Recommended for Approval by unanimous vote** |
| Brian Mongan | Generator Alternate  | Approve |
| Iain Wright -Chair | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Julie-Anne Hannon | Supplier Alternate | Approve |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Approve |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| Niamh Quinn | Generator Member | Approve |
| Patrick Liddy | DSU Member | Approve |
| William Carr | Supplier Member | Approve |

# Background

This Modification Proposal was raised by the TSO and received by the Secretariat on 17 July 2012. It proposes to ensure that all steps which must take place in order for a submitted Validation Data Set to be approved in the SEM systems are documented in the Trading and Settlement Code, and the responsibilities of the relevant parties outlined.

The Modification Proposal was presented and discussed at Meeting 43 on 31 July 2012 where it was voted on.

# PURPOSE OF PROPOSED MODIFICATION

## 3A.) justification of Modification

The implementation of Mod\_47\_08 in the SEM systems (as per CR148 Section 7) requires that as well as SO approval (or rejection) for a submitted Validation Data set ,the MO must also approve (or reject) the set before it becomes an Approved Validation Data Set. This Modification Proposal seeks to ensure that the MO responsibility is outlined in the TSC and that an appropriate timeline is attributed to this.

It is not intended to change the 10 Working Day timeline for SO validation which came about from Mod\_47\_08, but rather to reflect that the systems implementation of this change requires an extra step in the process which is outside of the SOs’ responsibility. It is necessary and appropriate that this be outlined in the TSC.

It is not envisaged that this will introduce a significant extra delay to the process of VTOD Validation. To date, for the vast majority of VTOD requests carried out since November 2010, the MO status has been updated on the same day as the SO status. The purpose of this proposal is to ensure that this important step in the process is referenced in the TSC and that responsibility for it rests with the appropriate party, in this case the MO.

## 3B.) Impact of not Implementing a Solution

The TSC does not currently require the MO to carry out this step or outline a timeline for it. This could result in undue delay in a Validation Data Set which has been approved by the SO becoming an Approved Validation Data Set which can be used by participants.

## 3c.) Impact on Code Objectives

This Modification Proposal achieves the objective: “to facilitate the efficient, economic and coordinated operation, administration and development of the Single Electricity Market in a financially secure manner”

# Assessment of Alternatives

No alternatives assessed.

# Working Group and/or Consultation

N/A

# impact on systems and resources

N/A

# Impact on other Codes/Documents

N/A

# MODIFICATION COMMITTEE VIEWS

## Meeting 43 - 31 July 2012

The TSO Alternate presented slides outlining their proposal which seeks to ensure that the MO responsibility to match the status of SO Approval or Rejection of a Validation Data Set in the SEM systems is outlined in the T&SC. Chair queried as to why the 10 Working Day timeline for SO validation could not be reduced to a 9 Working Day timeline. TSO Alternate advised that this is the timeline required by the SO in order to effectively conduct the level and quality of work necessary.

# Proposed Legal Drafting

As set out in Appendix 1.

#  LEGAL REVIEW

Complete

# IMPLEMENTATION TIMESCALE

It is proposed that this Modification is implemented on a Trading Day basis with effect from one Working Day after an RA Decision.

# Appendix 1: Mod\_14\_12

|  |
| --- |
| **MODIFICATION PROPOSAL FORM** |
| **Proposer** | **Date of receipt** | **Type of Proposal** | **Modification Proposal ID** |
| **EirGrid** | **17 July 2012** | **Standard** | **Mod\_14\_12** |
| **Contact Details for Modification Proposal Originator** |
| **Name** | **Telephone number** | **Email address** |
| **Siobhán McHugh** | **+(353) 12370000** | **siobhan.mchugh@eirgrid.com** |
| **Modification Proposal Title** |
| **Reference to MO Status for VTOD** |
| **Documents affected***(delete as appropriate)* | **Section(s) Affected** | **Version number of T&SC or AP used in Drafting** |
| **T&SC****AP4** | **T&SC Section 3, AP 4 Section 2** | **V10.0 (updated to reflect changes in Mod\_18\_10)** |
| **Explanation of Proposed Change***(mandatory by originator)* |
| This Modification Proposal seeks to ensure that all steps which must take place in order for a submitted Validation Data Set to be approved in the SEM systems are documented in the Trading and Settlement Code, and the responsibilities of the relevant parties outlined |
| **Legal Drafting Change***(Clearly show proposed code change using* ***tracked*** *changes, if proposer fails to identify changes, please indicate best estimate of potential changes)* |
| **Body of Trading and Settlement Code (Section 3):****Submission and Approval of Validation Data Sets**3.42D Upon registration, and thereafter as required, a Participant shall submit a minimum of one and a maximum of six Validation Data Sets to the Market Operator for each Generator Unit. For each Validation Data Set submitted for approval, the Participant shall identify which of the six Validation Data Sets it is intended to comprise or replace. 3.42E The Market Operator shall provide each submitted Validation Data Set that is identified as such to the relevant System Operator for approval. 3.42F The System Operator shall assess each submitted Validation Data Set within 10 Working Days and either approve or reject the submitted Validation Data Set and advise the Market Operator accordingly.3.42G If a submitted Validation Data Set is approved by the relevant System Operator, the Market Operator shall ensure that the approved status is matched in the CMS within 1 Working Day, in order for the relevant Validation Data Set to be deemed to be an Approved Validation Data Set. The set will be identified thereafter by its Validation Data Set Number. Otherwise the submitted Validation Data Set shall be deemed to be rejected.**Agreed Procedure 4: Transaction Submission and Validation:*** 1. **Approval of Data Transactions**
	2. This section describes the timelines associated with the approval of different Data Transactions and their Elements. Various Data Transactions contain Elements which require more time than others for Market Operator approval (including System Operator approval as appropriate). These are described in Table 4.
	3. **Table 4: Data Transaction Approval Requirements**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| MI | VDS UpdateGenerator Unit: Technical Offer DataDemand Side Unit: Technical Offer Data | SO Approval time up to 10 Working Days from date of submission. MO Approval time up to 1 Working Day following notification of SO response. |

**2.6.6.3 Change of Validation Data Set:**If a Participant wishes to change the data elements of one of its six Validation Data Sets, it may do so using the “Submission of Validation Data Sets” process above. The approval time for this submission is outlined in Table 4 of this Agreed Procedure, “Data Transaction Approval Requirements”. |
| **Modification Proposal Justification***(Clearly state the reason for the Modification)* |
| The implementation of MOD\_47\_08 in the SEM systems (as per CR148 Section 7) requires that as well as SO approval (or rejection) for a submitted Validation Data set ,the MO must also approve (or reject) the set before it becomes an Approved Validation Data Set. This Modification Proposal seeks to ensure that the MO responsibility is outlined in the TSC and that an appropriate timeline is attributed to this.It is not intended to change the 10 Working Day timeline for SO validation which came about from MOD\_47\_08, but rather to reflect that the systems implementation of this change requires an extra step in the process which is outside of the SOs’ responsibility. It is necessary and appropriate that this be outlined in the TSC.It is not envisaged that this will introduce a significant extra delay to the process of VTOD Validation. To date, for the vast majority of VTOD requests carried out since November 2010, the MO status has been updated on the same day as the SO status. The purpose of this proposal is to ensure that this important step in the process is referenced in the TSC and that responsibility for it rests with the appropriate party, in this case the MO.  |
| **Code Objectives Furthered***(State the Code Objectives the Proposal furthers, see Section 1.3 of T&SC for Code Objectives)* |
| This Modification Proposal achieves the objective: “to facilitate the efficient, economic and coordinated operation, administration and development of the Single Electricity Market in a financially secure manner” |
| **Implication of not implementing the Modification Proposal***(State the possible outcomes should the Modification Proposal not be implemented)* |
| The TSC does not currently require the MO to carry out this step or outline a timeline for it. This could result in undue delay in a Validation Data Set which has been approved by the SO becoming an Approved Validation Data Set which can be used by participants.  |
| **Working Group***(State if Working Group considered necessary to develop proposal)* | **Impacts***(Indicate the impacts on systems, resources, processes and/or procedures)* |
| N/A |  |
| ***Please return this form to Secretariat by email to*** ***modifications@sem-o.com*** |