		MODIFICATION	PROPOSAL FO	RM					
<b>Proposer</b> (Company)		a <b>te of receipt</b> igned by System Operator)	<b>Type of P</b> (delete as ap	•	Modification Proposal ID (assigned by System Operator)				
UR	9	th July 2020	Standard		CMC_10_20				
	Contact Details for Modification Proposal Originator								
Name		Telephone number		Email address					
Kevin Lenaghar	1			Kevin.lenaghan@uregni.gov.uk					
Modification Proposal Title									
Change to determinations made in F.4.1.1									
<b>Documents affected</b> (delete as appropriate)		Section(s) Affected		Version number of CMC used in Drafting					
СМС	СМС		F.4.1.1		3.0				
Explanation of Proposed Change (mandatory by originator)									
This modification proposal provides for a change in the determinations made by the SOs under F.4.1.1.									
quantity and previou The change adds th quantity to be used i	city alrea stablishi sly awar e determ n the au g of the	ady awarded in a ng the parameters ded capacity is ne nination of the qua ction to be made determination is n of the key inputs	Locational Ca of for the auction atted off. ntity awarded visible. nade consisted to the determ	apacity Cor on (in F.8.2 d in previou ent with the	nstraint Area. When 2.1) it is used as a net as auctions to enable the analogous process in				
(Clearly show proposed	code cha	-		-	ntify changes, please indicate				
F.4.1.1 For each Locational Capacity Constraint, the System Operators shall, when requested to do so by the Regulatory Authorities and as required under this section F.4.1, determine the following for a Capacity Year:									
(a) the	e Locatio	nal Capacity Con	straint Requir	ed Quantity	y, being:				
(i)	Loc		Constraint d	etermined	is required to satisfy the under paragraph Error!				
(ii)	for fore	the relevant Ca	pacity Year ional during	but which the relevar	isting Awarded Capacity the System Operators at Capacity Year and will				
<ul> <li>(b) the total quantity of Gross De-Rated Capacity (Total) in respect of Capacit Market Units that are Qualified as contributing to satisfying the Location Capacity Constraint;</li> </ul>									

(c)	the absolute value of the amount (if any) by which the total quantity determined under sub-paragraph (b) falls short of the Locational Capacity Constraint Required Quantity for the Locational Capacity Constraint;	
(d)	the total quantity of Gross De-Rated Capacity (Existing) in respect of Capacity Market Units that are Qualified as contributing to satisfying the Locational Capacity Constraint; and	
<u>(e)</u>	_the absolute value of the amount (if any) by which the total quantity determined under sub-paragraph (d) falls short of the Locational Capacity Constraint Required Quantity for the Locational Capacity Constraint <del>, and</del>	
<u>(f)</u>	the existing Awarded Capacity for the Capacity Year in respect of Capacity Market Units that contribute to satisfying the Locational Capacity Constraint.	
<u>C.2.2</u> <u>Year</u>	For the avoidance of doubt, the minimum de-rated quantity determined in .2(c) does not take account of the existing Awarded Capacity for the Capacity in respect of Capacity Market Units that contribute to satisfying the Locational city Constraint.	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.75 cr No bullets or numbering
	Modification Proposal Justification	
impact of previo	n Proposal fills an information gap in the ability of the RAs to understand the ously awarded capacity on a Locational Capacity Constraint Area and the city that needs to be awarded in the current auction in respect of that area.	
of key elements for example, F.	e timing of the determination of the elements of LCCRQ with the determination of the Demand Curve. Given the strong links between these quantities (see, 4.1.8A) and the impact of Locational Capacity Constraints on the auction, it is he RAs are able to understand the expected level of LCCRQ that will be used in	
	Code Objectives Furthered	
(State the C	ode Objectives the Proposal furthers, see Sub-Section A.1.2 of the CMC Code Objectives)	
	e the efficient, economic and coordinated operation, administration and f the Capacity Market and the provision of adequate future capacity in a re manner;	
/c+/	Implication of not implementing the Modification Proposal te the possible outcomes should the Modification Proposal not be implemented)	
The continuatio	n of the information gap weakening to RAs understanding of the impact of acity Constraints and requiring one-off requests for additional data from the	
	Impacts (Indicate the impacts on systems, processes and/or procedures)	
Additional data	(Indicate the impacts on systems, resources, processes and/or procedures)	
	rminations by the SOS, but the impact is assumed to be minimal as the	
additional quar	tity to be determined is already determined for F 8 2 1	
	tity to be determined is already determined for F.8.2.1. <i>urn this form to the System Operators by email to <u>CapacityModifications@sem-o.com</u></i>	

## Notes on completing Modification Proposal Form:

- 1. If a person submits a Modification Proposal on behalf of another person, that person who proposes the material of the change should be identified on the Modification Proposal Form as the Modification Proposal Originator.
- 2. Any person raising a Modification Proposal shall ensure that their proposal is clear and substantiated with the appropriate detail including the way in which it furthers the Code Objectives to enable it to be fully considered by the Regulatory Authorities.
- 3. Each Modification Proposal will include a draft text of the proposed Modification to the Code unless, if raising a Provisional Modification Proposal whereby legal drafting text is not imperative.
- 4. For the purposes of this Modification Proposal Form, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

 CMC / Code:
 means the Capacity Market Code for the Single Electricity Market

 Modification Proposal:
 means the proposal to modify the Code as set out in the attached form

 Derivative Work:
 means any text or work which incorporates or contains all or part of the Modification Proposal or any adaptation, abridgement, expansion or other modification of the Modification Proposal

The terms "System Operators" and "Regulatory Authorities" shall have the meanings assigned to those terms in the Code.

In consideration for the right to submit, and have the Modification Proposal assessed in accordance with the terms of Section B.12 of the Code, which I have read and understand, I agree as follows:

- 1. I hereby grant a worldwide, perpetual, royalty-free, non-exclusive licence:
  - 1.1 to the System Operators and the Regulatory Authorities to publish and/or distribute the Modification Proposal for free and unrestricted access;
  - 1.2 to the Regulatory Authorities to amend, adapt, combine, abridge, expand or otherwise modify the Modification Proposal at their sole discretion for the purpose of developing the Modification Proposal in accordance with the Code;
  - 1.3 to the System Operators and the Regulatory Authorities to incorporate the Modification Proposal into the Code;
  - 1.4 to all Parties to the Code and the Regulatory Authorities to use, reproduce and distribute the Modification Proposal, whether as part of the Code or otherwise, for any purpose arising out of or in connection with the Code.
- 2. The licences set out in clause 1 shall equally apply to any Derivative Works.
- 3. I hereby waive in favour of the Parties to the Code and the Regulatory Authorities any and all moral rights I may have arising out of or in connection with the Modification Proposal or any Derivative Works.
- 4. I hereby warrant that, except where expressly indicated otherwise, I am the owner of the copyright and any other intellectual property and proprietary rights in the Modification Proposal and, where not the owner, I have the requisite permissions to grant the rights set out in this form.
- 5. I hereby acknowledge that the Modification Proposal may be rejected by the Regulatory Authorities and that there is no guarantee that my Modification Proposal will be incorporated into the Code.