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# SEMO update

Tracked changes published in version 2.0 of the Minutes from Meeting 38 held on 10 October 2011, were read and approved. Committee Member changes advised by Secretariat as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| **Committee Membership changes** |
| **Position** | **Parting Member** | **Replacing Member** | **Alternate** |
| MDP South | Aileen O’Connor (ESB Networks) | Gerry Halligan (ESB Networks) | James Long (ESB Networks) |
| Generation | Andrew Burke (ESBI) | Niamh Quinn (ESBI) | Gill Bradley (ESBI) |

The Committee were advised that a provision exists in the Code that allows a retiring Member to be replaced by their Alternate given agreement among the Committee at the Meeting. The alternative being to hold an Ad-Hoc Election for the position.

The Secretariat Programme of Work was acknowledged, see appendix 1 for further detail.

MO Member presented the CMS update advising that the deployment for the July 2012 release (SEM R2.0.0) will include:

|  |
| --- |
| **SEM R2.0.0 - July 2012 Release** |
| Mod\_18\_10 | Intra-Day Trading  |
| Mod\_43\_10 | Variable Price Taker Generator Units and Firm Access |

The release cut-off date for the October 2012 release (SEM R2.1.0) is now closed. All approved Modifications Proposals will be allocated to this release (subject to available capacity). The below seven Modification Proposals with Systems Impacts have received RA Approval:

|  |
| --- |
| **SEM R2.1.0 - October 2012 Release** |
| Mod\_40\_10 | Differentiation between Dwell Times and Dwell Trigger Points while Ramping up and Ramping down  |
| Mod\_42\_10 | Changes to the Single Ramp-Up Rate and the Single Ramp-Down Rate calculation |
| Mod\_01\_11 | UI Payments for Generator Units |
| Mod\_06\_11 | Increasing maximum daily submission number and automating cancellation of Settlement Reallocation Agreements |
| Mod\_10\_11 | Interconnector Under Test |
| Mod\_12\_11 | Interconnector Unit Loss Adjustment when Exporting |
| Mod\_21\_11 | UI Payments for generator units constrained on |

# Review of Actions

| **Dormant Actions** |
| --- |
| **Mod ID** | **Action** | **Comment** |
| Mod\_38\_10: Treatment of Errors Under the Code | * Training workshop to take place between SEMO and Participants with the aim of improving the data query process
 | ClosedTraining held on 15 November 2011 |
| * CER to revert with information from the MMU consultation
 | ClosedRA Member advised that a Decision is expected in Q1 of 2012 |
| Mod\_12\_11: Interconnector Unit Loss Adjustment When Exporting | * Issue of number of CLAFs to be revisited at year end as a dormant action.
 | ClosedSONI Member advised that the preferred Option agreed at the Working Group is being progressed and see it as the preferable route |
| **Review of Action Items from Meetings 38** |
| **Mod ID** | **Action** | **Comment** |
| Mod\_18\_10: Intra-Day Trading | **Meeting 33 Action*** Following SEM Committee approval, SEMO to develop one final Intra-Day Trading Modification Proposal, and to utilise Working Groups to translate detailed design into legal text.
 | ClosedVersion 2 received |
| **Working Group 11 Actions*** Participants to submit comments regarding the full IDT documentation pack as soon as possible following the Working Group, and by no later than COB 16 November 2011.
 | ClosedComments received |
| * Participants to submit comments on COD for Interconnector Units in the Ending Overlap Optimisation Period (EOOP) for WD1.
 | Closed |
| * SEMO to provide a slide for Meeting 39 addressing the discussion that arose at WG 11 regarding the cancellation of WD1 in the instance of publication delay of the MSQs and SMPs for EA2.
 | ClosedPresentation delivered to Mods Committee |
| * SEMO to consider scheduling a conference call upon receipt of Participant feedback regarding COD for Interconnector Units in the EOOP, in advance of the Meeting 39 Modifications Proposal submission deadline.
 | ClosedConf Call held on 29 November 2011 |
| **Working Group 10 Actions*** SEMO to consider comments put forward by Airtricity regarding utilisation of wind forecast data provided by Participants for Variable Price Takers (VPTs) rather than the TSO as Contingency Data.
 | Closed |
| * SEMO to discuss the impacts of moving to an earlier gate window opening time for EA2, where- in a change to the High-level Design- multiple ex-ante gates would be routinely open for the same Trading.
 | Closed |
| * SEMO to consider which Participant data is included in the Ex-Post runs if an EA2 or WD1 run is cancelled and confirm if this is consistent with the High-level Design.
 | Closed |
| * SEMO to examine if a change to the definition of MSP Failure is required.
 | Closed |
| * SEMO to correct a drafting error in slide 55 and republish slides.
 | Closed  |
| * SEMO to investigate the possibility of earlier cancellation of WD1 in the instance of publication delay of the MSQs and SMPs for EA2.
 | Closed |
| * SEMO to produce updated drafting reflective of original provisions of the Code regarding publication timings.
 | Closed |
| * Participants to issue feedback regarding publication and reporting timings.
 | Closed |
| * Participants to put forward views regarding LCF options as part of Participant comments.
 | Closed |
| * Participants to forward all comments on the FG2 pack using [comment spreadsheet](http://semopub/MarketDevelopment/ModificationDocuments/FG2%20Participant%20Review%20Spreadsheet.xls) to the Secretariat no later than COB 11 October 2011.
 | ClosedFeedback received |
| **Conference Call 8 Actions*** SEMO to progress Option 3 as the preferred option and to monitor its effectiveness.
 | OpenAt Conference Call 8 it was agreed to progress Option 3, with the proviso that it could be revisited if found not to be the most effective solution. Dormant action created to appear on the Mods Meeting agenda six months after deployment.In development |
| Mod\_14\_11: Pumped Storage Under Test | * TSO to revert to Modifications Committee with update on information of DSU workstream.
 | ClosedUpdate provided at Meeting 39 |
| * Secretariat to issue extension request to the RAs.
 | ClosedExtension granted until 31 January 2012 |
| Mod\_16\_11: Credit Worthiness Test for SEM Bank and Credit Cover Provider Banks | **Meeting 38 Action**SEMO to circulate suggested wording to Working Group Members by 01 November | ClosedWording circulated to Working Group Participants and Mods Committee |
| **Working Group Actions*** SEMO to inform Market Participants if there would be any operational issues if the Code requirement that either the SEM bank or Credit Cover Provider bank must have a branch in either Jurisdiction was removed.
 | Closed No issues identified |
| * SEMO to ensure the proposed wording meets the operational needs of the SEM Bank in line with the timing of the upcoming tendering process.
 | ClosedAssessment complete in advance of circulation of suggested wording |
| * SEMO to co-ordinate circulation of alternative wording to the Working Group Participants, based on discussions at the Working Group.
 | ClosedWording circulated to Working Group Participants on 01 November 2011 |
| * Working Group Participants to review the suggested wording and provide feedback.
 | ClosedFeedback received from BG, ESBI and NIE PP – see deferred section |
| * NIE Energy PPB to consider submitting alternative version of the proposal.
 | OpenAwaiting outcome of WG2 |
| Mod\_17\_11: Clarifying the requirement to provide Dispatch Instruction for Generator Units | * Airtricity to submit alternative version of the proposal in advance of Meeting 39 if they wish to proceed with the proposal.
 |  ClosedSee deferred section |
| Mod\_23\_11: Additional Clause for Standard Letter of Credit | * Airtricity to attain feedback regarding Mod\_23\_11 from Lloyds.
 | ClosedSee deferred section |
| Mod\_30\_11: Assessment and Approval of Registration Data | * SEMO, TSOs and DSO to come to agreed acceptable wording in advance of the next meeting.
 | ClosedSee deferred section |
| Mod\_32\_11: Excess Cash Collateral Drawdown Requirements | * Participants to submit preferred timings regarding request submission and preferred amount to the Secretariat.
 | ClosedTwo responses received  |
| * SEMO to assess wording and feedback and submit alternative version of proposal.
 | ClosedSee deferred section |

# New Modification Proposal

## Mod\_34\_11\_Transition to Sem Intra-Day Trading

Proposer: RA

This proposal was discussed alongside Mod\_18\_10\_v2\_*Intra-Day Trading*. The MO Member presented the proposal with the aid of a PowerPoint presentation noting that the Modification can only be implemented if Mod\_18\_11\_v2 *Intra-Day Trading* is approved. The proposal sets out the changes necessary to implement the transitional arrangements to allow a seamless transition to Intra-Day Trading. A legal review report by McCann Fitzgerald was noted and its conclusion that the Modification Proposal drafting is legally robust. The Chair questioned the timing allocated for Participants to amend required credit cover and asked if steps could be taken to mitigate the impact on Participants. SEMO agreed to consider the impactif this could be amended .

Actions

* SEMO to consider impact on Participants of the credit cover timings

Decision

* The proposal was Recommended for Approval

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommended for Approval (Unanimous Vote)** |
| Gill Bradley | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| Iain Wright | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Ian Luney | Generator Member | Approve |
| Jill Murray | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Approve |
| Killian Morgan | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| William Steele | Supplier Member | Approve |

# Deferred Modifications Proposals

## Mod\_18\_10\_v2\_intra-Day Trading

Proposer: Regulatory Authorities

MO Member presented a history of the development of the project and a high level recap of the changes proposed in the alternative version of the proposal.

The Chair drew attention to the Minutes of Meeting 32 where the High Level Design was approved by the Committee, noting that the Committee were asked to vote without any indication of the costs associated with implementation of the project. The Chair further added that the nature of the design developed has resulted in a significant increase in implementation costs for SSE.

The Chair called for views from around the table with regard to the effectiveness of the process noting that the Modifications process was not followed from the outset of the project. RA Member advised that the process at that time did not accommodate raising a detailed proposal for discussion at a Working Group. RA Member felt this issue has since been remedied with the introduction of a new proposal form that allows for the absence of legal drafting changes, allowing a Working Group to be established to develop the detail.

The Chair voiced concern that the High Level Design was agreed as a working assumption, with Participants requesting to be involved in the detailed design process. In this context, the Chair questioned whether Participant concerns were adequately addressed in the detailed design and asked how lessons from this process would be learned for future projects.

Supplier Member stated that Participants were mindful of the requirements of the Congestion Management Guidelines but found the deadline a constraint, having the design finalised before working groups was not ideal. Endesa Ireland observer felt that the benefits to Participants and customers may not be reflected in the cost, however acknowledged that infringement issues had to be addressed. RA Member added that the design that emerged from the Working Group process came from Participants. RA Member agreed that it is not an ideal situation to have the systems changes happen in parallel with drafting of the proposal.

The RA Member drew attention to the fact that Participants voted to approve the High Level Design and it was never the intention to revisit the High Level Design in phase 2 (implementation) of the project. RA Member added that if anything fundamental had arisen, it would have been remiss of the RAs to not elevate that, but nothing fundamental did arise. RA Member concluded by saying hard work needs to be commended, the process has been inclusive and Participants did involve themselves in it, there are lessons to be learned and the Modifications Committee views included in the FRR will be considered by the SEMC.

Chair added a final comment regarding the cost, stated that it €20m is a substantial amount to spend on the project and it would have been of benefit if the option to revisit the high-level principles existed in an effort to attain a cheaper solution.

Actions

* N/A

Decision

* The proposal was Recommended for Approval

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommended for Approval (Majority Vote)** |
| Gill Bradley | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| **Iain Wright** | **Supplier Member** | **Reject** |
| Ian Luney | Generator Member | Approve |
| Jill Murray | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Approve |
| Killian Morgan | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| William Steele | Supplier Member | Approve |

## Mod\_04\_11\_v2\_Facilitating Demand Sites with an MEC less than 10MW to Participate as part of a Demand Side Unit

Proposer: Fingleton White & Co.

Secretariat provided an update on the progress of the related Grid Code Modification Proposal. SO Member confirmed that the NI Consultation process has closed and awaiting a decision from the RAs. Proposer explained that the Grid Code Modification Proposal resulted in the necessity to include some additional changes to the proposal in the form of the alternative version. The Committee agreed to approve the Modification subject to the approval of the Grid Code Modification.

Actions

* N/A

Decision

* Recommended for Approval subject to RA Decision approval of the Grid Code Modification

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommended for Approval (Unanimous Vote)** |
| Gill Bradley | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| Iain Wright | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Ian Luney | Generator Member | Approve |
| Jill Murray | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Approve |
| Killian Morgan | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| William Steele | Supplier Member | Approve |

## Mod\_14\_11\_Pumped Storage Under Test

*Proposer: TSO*

TSO Member advised that the DSU readiness work is ongoing and a proposal related to the testing tariffs is not expected in the immediate future. Further added that that particular workstream has no interaction with this proposal. The Chair questioned if it is worth progressing this proposal now given the implementation cost of €113k and noted that Turlough Hill will be back before this Modification Proposal could be implemented. What is the likelihood of a Pumped Storage Unit being built in the next few years? TSO Member confirmed that there are units queued to be built in the gate process but could not confirm the earliest dates for the units. Discussion ensued around the testing costs of a unit. Proposer advised that the proposal was raised as a result of the responses from the SEM Testing Tariffs Consultation.

Concern was raised by a Generator Member with regard to the amount of money being spent something that he considered not urgent. Supplier Member asked if efficiencies could be gained by amalgamating the proposal with other Modifications. SEMO advised that Modification Proposals are assessed individually by the vendor but where possible, modifications that require changes to the same area of the CMS are implemented together to save on testing costs.

The option of removing the Modification Proposal from the agenda for a period of time and revisit it at a later date was discussed. A suggestion was also put forward to approve the proposal but not implement it until a more appropriate time. The MO Memberstated that the impact assessment on necessary system changes was complete and could be revisited if there was a new pumped storage unit in the lifetime of this market. . RA Member was not in favour of putting a time freeze on a proposal as costs could change. The Chair also noted the option for the proposer to withdraw the Modification; this was not supported by the proposer.

Actions

* N/A

Decision

* The proposal was Recommended for Rejection

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommended for Rejection (Majority Vote)** |
| **Gill Bradley** | **Generator Alternate** | **Approve** |
| Iain Wright | Supplier Member | Reject |
| Ian Luney | Generator Member | Reject |
| Jill Murray | Supplier Member | Reject |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Reject |
| Killian Morgan | Supplier Member | Reject |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Reject |
| William Steele | Supplier Member | Reject |

## Mod\_16\_11\_credit worthiness test for the sem bank and credit cover provider banks

*Proposer: NIE Energy PPB*

Secretariat outlined feedback received from NIE PPB, BGE and ESBI. NIE PPB observer questioned if the RAs will have the skill set to assess credit worthiness and noted that they may not be the appropriate body to do so. A second Working Group is scheduled for 13 December 2011 in which the primary focus will be on the guarantees.

Actions

* N/A

Decision

* The Committee agreed to defer the proposal

|  |
| --- |
| **Deferred** |

## Mod\_17\_11\_v2\_Clarifying the Requirement to Provide Dispatch Instruction for Generator Units

Proposer: Airtricity

Proposer introduced the alternative version of the proposal noting that the only difference is that the provisions are extended to include the D + 3 reporting as per the original assessment by SEMO.

MO Member advised that autonomous dispatch instructions are not used within the market and the MO usually ony publishes data used in the market. SO Member informed the Committee that there is a 9-10 week implementation time line and a cost associated with this Modification for NI which will be approximately 20-30k. SEMO further added that setting a precedent of publishing information not used by the market is not preferrable. Proposer stated that the market is not for SEMO but rather Participants. Generator Member asked if the information is available from the Participant systems. Proposer representatives advised that access to the information is only available through SCADA which the operations team receive; it is easier accessed if published. Supplier Member agreed setting a precedent was not preferred.

Actions

* N/A

Decision

* The proposal was Recommended for Approval by unanimous vote

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommended for Approval (Unanimous Vote)** |
| Gill Bradley | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| Iain Wright | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Ian Luney | Generator Member | Approve |
| Jill Murray | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Approve |
| Killian Morgan | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| William Steele | Supplier Member | Approve |

## Mod\_23\_11\_Additional Clause for Standard Letter of Credit

*Proposer: Airtricity*

Proposer advised that the feedback received from Lloyds indicates that rights under LOC are not assignable. SEMO confirmed that they have no issue with the addition of the clause but noted that the justification stated this was standard banking practice,and the action was for Airtricity to explain this, which they have now done . Proposer stated that Lloyds advised it was necessary. Supplier Member questioned where the proposed wording will fit with the previously approved Mod\_29\_11*Revision of Standard Letter of Credit Template*; legal drafting may be an option. Secretariat advised that Mod\_29\_11 is with the regulators awaiting a decision and the current provisions in the Code remains legally binding, therefore any drafting changes in the form of a proposal must be an edit to the existing rules. Secretariat further noted that the option exists for the proposal to be Recommended for Approval subject to the legal drafting changes and an FRR not drafted until after the decision is delivered by the RAs regarding Mod\_29\_11. The Committee were in agreement that the preferred solution is to defer the Modification until a decision is delivered on Mod\_29\_11. RA observer asked if a two month period in which the LOC is undergoing changes will impact on Participants renewing their letters. MO Member advised that the majority of LOCs are renewed in November each year. Secretariat advised that the RAs can set the effective date of the two proposals to align if preferred.

Actions

* Proposer to submit alternative version of proposal upon receipt of RA Decision of Mod\_29\_11

Decision

* The Committee agreed to defer the proposal

|  |
| --- |
| **Deferred** |

## Mod\_30\_11\_V2 Confirmation of Unit Registration Data

*Proposer: SEMO*

MO Member provided an update on the developments of the proposal advising that concerns raised at Meeting 38 regarding the wording of the proposal has now been rectified. The preferred wording agreed by the DSO, TSO and MO is “confirm”, the alternative version of the proposal reflects the changes. Chair asked if it is necessary to capitalise and include a glossary definition of confirm. All parties involved were in agreement that a definition is not necessary.

Actions

* N/A

Decision

* The proposal was Recommended for Approval by the Committee

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommended for Approval (Unanimous Vote)****AP only Mod** |
| Gerry Halligan | MDP Member | Approve |
| Gill Bradley | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| Iain Wright | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Ian Luney | Generator Member | Approve |
| Jill Murray | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Approve |
| Killian Morgan | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| Niamh Delaney | MO Member | Approve |
| Sonya Twohig | TSO Member | Approve |
| William Steele | Supplier Member | Approve |

## Mod\_32\_11 Excess Cash Collateral Drawdown Requirements

Two comments were received (one confidential) following an action placed on Participants at Meeting 38 to submit their preferred timings and amount regarding request submission. One comment recommended a lower limit while the other recommended a higher limit. Generator Alternate queried how often the value can be revised. SEMO advised that a Participant can revise the value at any time through submission of an amendment to a standing request. MO Member also drew attention to a typo in paragraph 3.53, Payment Due Day should read Payment Due Date. The Committee agreed that this could be rectified in the legal drafting of the FRR.

Actions

* N/A

Decision

* The proposal was Recommended for Approval

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommended for Approval (Unanimous Vote)** |
| Gill Bradley | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| Iain Wright | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Ian Luney | Generator Member | Approve |
| Jill Murray | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Kevin Hannafin | Generator Member | Approve |
| Killian Morgan | Supplier Member | Approve |
| Mary Doorly | Generator Alternate | Approve |
| William Steele | Supplier Member | Approve |

# Any Other Business

## Short Term TEst Status

Generator Member sought justification from RAs regarding the decision to reject Mod\_65\_08 *Short Term Test Status.* RA Member outlined the following reasons:

1. Outstanding issues were not resolved by the Working Group

2. Provision for short term testing is in place through TSO business process.

3. Cost of €467k plus €67k was considered expensive and may not be the best use of resources.

## DSM Letter

Letter issued to Modifications Committee from RAs regarding demand side vision workstream. RA Member advised that the letter will be reissued following a receipt of an updated draft and should not be discussed at this Meeting.

## Upcoming Events

The second Working Group meeting to develop Mod\_16\_11 *Credit Worthiness Test for the SEM Bank and Credit Cover Provider banks* will take place on 13 December 2011.

Modifications Committee Meeting 40 is scheduled for 31 January 2011 in Dublin.

# Appendices

## Appendix 1 - Secretariat Programme of Work

|  |
| --- |
| **Status as at 06 December 2011** |
| **FRRs ‘Recommended for Approval’ without systems impacts awaiting RA Decision** |
| Mod\_36\_10 Removal of connection between Supplier Units and DSUs | T&SC Section 2 & 5 | 22 February 2011 |
| Mod\_18\_11 Definition of ‘Availability’ | T&SC Glossary | 08 September 2011 |
| Mod\_27\_11 Market Operator Solver Policy | T&SC Section 4, Glossary | 08 September 2011 |
| Mod\_29\_11 Revision of Standard Letter of Credit Template | T&SC Appendix A | 16 September 2011 |
| Mod\_31\_11 Calculation of Estimated Energy Price (EEP) and Estimated Capacity Price (ECP) | T&SC Section 6 | 14 September 2011 |
| Mod\_33\_11 Temporary Exclusion of Interconnector Error Unit Testing Charges from Settlement Calculations | T&SC Section 7 | 14 September 2011 |
| **RA Decision Approved Modifications with System Impacts** |
| **Title** | **Sections Modified** | **Effective Date** |
| Mod\_01\_11 UI Payments for Generator Units | T&SC Section 4 | October 2012 TBC |
| Mod\_40\_10 Differentiation between Dwell Times and Dwell Trigger Points while ramping up and ramping down | T&SC Appendix I,N,O | October 2012 TBC |
| Mod\_42\_10 Changes to the Single Ramp Up Rate and the Single Ramp Down Rate Calculation | T&SC Section 5, Appendix H, I, N & Glossary | October 2012 TBC |
| Mod\_43\_10 Variable Price Taker Generator Units & Firm Access | T&SC Section 5 | July 2012 |
| Mod\_06\_11 Increasing Maximum Daily Submission Number and Automating Cancellation of Settlement Reallocation Agreements | AP 10 | October 2012 TBC |
| Mod\_10\_11Interconnector Under Test | T&SC Section 5 | October 2012 TBC |
| Mod\_12\_11 Interconnector Unit Loss Adjustment when Exporting | T&SC Section 4 | October 2012 TBC |
| Mod\_21\_11UI Payments for generator units constrained on | T&SC Section 5 | October 2012 TBC |
| **RA Decision Approved Modifications without System Impacts** |
| Mod\_25\_11 Separate Residual Meter Volume Interval Proportions For Each Jurisdiction | T&SC Section 4, Glossary | 20 October 2011 |
| **RA Decision Rejected Modifications** |
| Mod\_65\_08 Short Term Test Status | T&SC Section 4, 5Appendix K, N | N/A |
| **Working Groups, Consultations & Other Meetings** |
| Mod\_18\_10 Intra-Day Trading | Working Group 11 | 10 November 2011 |
| **Work in Progress** |
| Mod\_16\_11 Credit Worthiness Test for the SEM Bank and Credit Cover Provider banks | Working Group 2 | 13 December 2011 |
| **T&SC Version 11 October 2012** |
| 1 approved Modification Proposal pending implementation in Version 11.0 of the Code |